

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/770,915	02/03/2004	Douglas P. Gethmann	06005/39058B	1693		
4743	7590 07/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	L, GERSTEIN & BOR	CHAMBERS,	CHAMBERS, A MICHAEL			
	233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER			PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, I	L 60606		3753	3753		
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/770,915	GETHMANN ET AL.	GETHMANN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		A. Michael Chambers	3753				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	is			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMU FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No statute, cause the application to become	NICATION.  y a reply be timely filed  NONTHS from the mailing date of this commuse ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🛛	4) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
ا_ا(ە	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		٠			
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	•				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	·	-, -	, ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>							
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for		ot received.				
Attachment							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3 /DSes</u> .	8) Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	)			
C Datast and Te							

Application/Control Number: 10/770,915 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This application has been examined. Informational disclosure statements (IDS) filed June 23, 2004, July 19, 2004, and November 28, 2005, Have been considered. Claims 1-23 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-8, 11-18, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kemmler et al. Kemmler et al (Figure 5) show a non-contact position transmitter 22 for the valve 16. In particular note the spring 40 biased diaphragm actuator 38, hall effect sensor (disclosed in the discussion of Patent 5,359,288), magnetic track 20 and permanent magnet 24 (magnetic array in a holder). A slidable actuator stem is clearly shown in Figure 5. A plurality of sensors and magnets are discussed in column 4, lines 40+.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/770,915 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

5. Claims 9, 10, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gassman. In particular note column 3, line 67 (potentiometer), column 4, line 2 (Hall Effect) and column 4, line 7 in which a plurality of non-contact different sensors 38 for a valve 22 are discussed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co., 148 USPQ 459*, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
    - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or unobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/770,915 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

9. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kemmler et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to operate the valve and sensor of Kemmler et al by the recited steps of claim 23.

#### Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Michael Chambers whose telephone number is 571-272-4908. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur. 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel can be reached on 571-272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/770,915

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A. Michael Chambers Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753 Page 5

amc 078/06/06